

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

ARLINGTON EFFECTIVE EDUCATOR DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

*Memorandum of Agreement by and between the
Arlington Education Association (AEA) and the Arlington School Committee
(ASC) Covering the Evaluation Process
May 2022*

Article XXVII From AEA Contract

Table of Contents

- (1) Purpose of Educator Evaluation
- (2) Definitions
- (3) Evidence Used in Evaluation
- (4) Rubric
- (5) Evaluation Cycle: Training
- (6) Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation
- (7) Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment
- (8) Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Educator Plan Development
- (9) Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators without PTS
- (10) Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators with PTS
- (11) Observations
- (12) Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment
- (13) Evaluation Cycle: Formative Evaluation for Two-Year Self-Directed Plans Only
- (14) Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation
- (15) Educator Plans: General
- (16) Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan
- (17) Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan
- (18) Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- (19) Educator Plans: Improvement Plan
- (20) Career Advancement
- (21) Using Student Feedback in Educator Evaluation
- (22) Using Staff Feedback in Educator Evaluation
- (23) Transition from Existing Evaluation System
- (24) General Provisions

APPENDIX A - EVALUATION CHARTS

APPENDIX B - EDUCATOR PLAN TIMELINES

APPENDIX C – LIST OF LINKS TO RUBRICS

APPENDIX D – PHOTO/MEDIA RELEASE FORM

APPENDIX E – ONE PAGE AEEEDS OVERVIEW

APPENDIX F – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR 2022-2023

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

1) Purpose of Educator Evaluation

- A) This contract language is locally negotiated and based on M.G.L., c.71, § 38; M.G.L. c.150E; the Educator Evaluation regulations, 603 CMR 35.00 et seq.; and the Model System for Educator Evaluation developed and which may be updated from time to time by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. See 603 CMR 35.02 (definition of model system). In the event of a conflict between this collective bargaining agreement and the governing laws and regulations, the laws and regulations will prevail.
- B) The regulatory purposes of evaluation are:
 - i) To promote student learning and growth by providing Educators with feedback for improvement, enhanced opportunities for professional growth, and clear structures for accountability, 603 CMR 35.01(2)(a);
 - ii) To provide a record of facts and assessments for personnel decisions, 35.01(2)(b);
 - iii) To ensure that every school committee has a system to enhance the professionalism and accountability of teachers and administrators that will enable them to assist all students to perform at high levels, 35.01(3); and
 - iv) To assure effective teaching and administrative leadership, 35.01(3).

2) Definitions

- A) **Evidence of Professional Practice:** Products of an Educator's work and student work samples that demonstrate the Educator's knowledge and skills with respect to specific performance standards.
- B) **Caseload Educator:** Educators who teach or counsel individual or small groups of students through consultation with the regular classroom teacher, for example, school nurses, school counselors, speech and language pathologists, and some reading specialists and special education teachers.
- C) **Classroom teacher:** Educators who teach preK-12 whole classes, and teachers of special subjects such as art, music, library, and physical education. May also include special education teachers and reading specialists who teach whole classes.
- D) **Categories of Evidence:** Multiple measures of student learning and growth, judgments based on observations and evidence of professional practice, including unannounced observations of practice of any duration, but not less than ten minutes; and additional evidence relevant to one or more Standards of Effective Teaching Practice (603 CMR 35.03).

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- E) **Common Assessments:** Identical or comparable assessments of student learning, growth, and achievement related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks, Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks, or other relevant frameworks used by educators in the same role across the district. These assessments may be commercial or district developed assessments, and may include, but are not limited to, portfolios, pre- and post-tests, unit and course assessments, performance assessments, and capstone projects.
- F) **Conferences:** A post-observation meeting between an Educator and Evaluator, where the Evaluator leads a coaching conference with the Educator about what he/she observed and gives targeted and constructive feedback to the Educator. The expectation is that this is a chance for both parties to discuss their perspective of the observation period.
- G) **Educator(s):** Inclusive term that applies to all classroom teachers and caseload educators, as well as unless otherwise noted.
- H) **Educator Plan:** The growth or improvement actions identified as part of each Educator's evaluation. The type of plan is determined by the Educator's career stage, overall performance rating, and the rating of impact on student learning and growth. There shall be four types of Educator Plans:
 - i) **Developing Educator Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator and the Evaluator for one school year or less for an Educator without Professional Teacher Status (PTS); or, at the discretion of an Evaluator, for an Educator with PTS in a new assignment. An Educator with PTS will be considered as having a new assignment if the assignment requires a different license from the license required by his or her previous position. An Educator who falls into this category will follow a Developing Educator Plan (Year 2 and 3 Plan) for the first year only. The Educator will then revert to a Self-Directed Growth Plan, as long as their Summative rating is proficient or exemplary.
 - ii) **Self-Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator for one or two school years for Educators with PTS who are rated proficient or exemplary.
 - iii) **Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator and the Evaluator of one school year for Educators with PTS who are rated needs improvement. The Directed Growth Plan will be of sufficient length to achieve the goals in the Directed Growth Plan.
 - iv) **Improvement Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Evaluator and the Educator for Educators with PTS who are rated unsatisfactory. The Improvement Plan will be of sufficient length to achieve the goals in the Improvement Plan. The

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

initial Improvement Plan will commence in September and end no sooner than the December vacation. The maximum length of an Improvement Plan will be one school year. The District may recommend activities during the summer preceding the next school year. Any subsequent improvement plan will have a 30 school day minimum.

- I) **ESE:** The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.
- J) **Evaluation:** The ongoing process of defining goals and identifying, gathering, and using information as part of a process to improve professional performance (“Formative Evaluation” and “Formative Assessment”) and to assess total job effectiveness and make personnel decisions (the “Summative Evaluation”).
- K) **Evaluation Task Force:** The group of Educators appointed by the Association President, and Administrators appointed by the Superintendent who shall represent their respective organizations during the ongoing review process and the subsequent bargaining sessions that result from this process.
- L) **Evaluator:** Any Arlington Public School employee designated by a superintendent who has primary or contributory responsibility for observation and evaluation. The superintendent is responsible for ensuring that all Evaluators have training in the principles of supervision and evaluation. The building principal or Director of Special Education will approve the Educator’s performance rating and evaluation. The employees who have primary and contributory responsibilities for observation and evaluation are designated in Appendix A. No additions or substitutions can be made to this list without notice to and agreement of the Association.
 - i) **Primary Evaluator** shall be the person who is responsible for the Educator Plan, and supervises the Educator’s progress through formative assessments, evaluating the Educator’s progress toward attaining the Educator Plan goals, and makes recommendations about the evaluation ratings to the Principal of the building, or Director of Special Education in the case of some Special Educators (see Appendix A). In some cases, the Primary Evaluator will be the Principal, Assistant Principal or Dean in the building. For Educators on Self-Directed Growth Plans, the Primary Evaluator must conduct a minimum of one observation per year. For Educators on all other Plan types, the Primary Evaluator will carry out the majority of observations and feedback sessions. In the case where Evaluators are considering a Plan change for the following year, the Primary Evaluator must conduct the majority of the observations during the year leading up to the plan change. In some cases where a Curriculum Director has a particularly high number of teachers to evaluate, some PTS educators in the department may be assigned the Principal, Assistant Principal, or Dean as their primary evaluator. If that occurs, the Curriculum Director will be their

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- contributing evaluator.
- ii) **Contributing Evaluator** shall be the Principal of the building, or his or her designee, a Curriculum Director, a Special Education Coordinator, or the Director of Special Education. (See Appendix A for detailed information regarding the Primary and Contributing Evaluator for each position). A Contributing Evaluator shall conduct at least one unannounced observation for each Educator under his or her supervision during the Educator Plan.
 - iii) **Curriculum Directors** not otherwise assigned to evaluate an educator may be invited to carry out an observation at the request of the evaluator or educator. The total number of such requests needs to be reasonable for the overall Curriculum Director observation load. If a Curriculum Director plans to observe an educator, the educator will receive advance notice within a reasonable time frame.
 - iii) **Teaching Staff Assigned to More Than One Building:** Educators who are assigned to more than one building will be evaluated by the administrator where the individual is assigned most of the time. The principal of each building in which the Educator serves must review and sign the evaluation, and may add written comments. In cases where there is no predominant assignment, the superintendent will determine who the Primary and Contributing Evaluator will be.
 - iv) **Notification of Evaluator:** Educators will be notified who their Evaluators will be for that school year by e-mail by September 15 . The goal is to have Evaluators with the most direct experience in the Educator's area of instruction.
 - v) **Master List:** The master list of Primary and Contributing Evaluators for each Educator will be provided to the Association by September 15. In addition, master evaluation lists will be kept in the main office of each school (and the Preschool office).
 - vi) **Leaves of Absence:** In the event that an evaluator is absent for an extended period of time, the Superintendent or his/her designee shall consult with the Association about a proposed plan for substitute evaluators for the duration of the absence.
- M) **Evaluation Cycle:** A five-component process that all Educators follow consisting of 1) Self-Assessment; 2) Goal-setting and Educator Plan development; 3) Implementation of

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- the Plan; 4) Formative Assessment/Evaluation; and 5) Summative Evaluation.
- N) **Experienced Educator:** An educator with Professional Teacher Status (PTS).
 - O) **Family:** Includes students' parents, legal guardians, foster parents, or primary caregivers.
 - P) **Formative Assessment:** The process used to assess progress towards attaining goals set forth in Educator plans, performance on standards, or both. This process may take place at any time(s) during the cycle of evaluation, but typically takes place at mid-cycle.
 - Q) **Formative Evaluation:** An evaluation conducted at the end of Year 1 for an Educator on a 2-year Self-Directed Growth plan which is used to arrive at a rating on progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice, or both.
 - R) **Goal:** A specific, actionable, and measurable area of improvement as set forth in an Educator's plan. A goal may pertain to any or all of the following: Educator practice in relation to Performance Standards, Educator practice in relation to indicators, or specified improvement in student learning and growth. Goals may be developed by individual Educators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Educators who have the same role.
 - S) **Measurable:** That which can be classified or estimated in relation to a scale, rubric, or standards.
 - T) **Multiple Measures of Student Learning and Growth:** Measures must include a combination of classroom, school and district assessments.
 - U) **New Assignment:** An educator with PTS shall be considered in a new assignment when teaching under a different license for the first year only.
 - V) **Observation:** A data gathering process by the Evaluator that includes notes and judgments made during one or more classroom or worksite visits(s) of any duration, but not less than 10 minutes. Observations may also include examination of evidence of practice, including student work. Classroom or worksite observations conducted pursuant to this article must result in targeted and constructive feedback to the Educator. Normal supervisory responsibilities of department, building and district administrators will also cause administrators to drop in on classes and other activities in the worksite at various times as deemed necessary by the administrator. Carrying out these supervisory responsibilities, when they do not result in targeted and constructive feedback to the Educator, are not observations as defined in this Article.
 - W) **Parties:** The parties to this agreement are the local school committee and the employee organization that represents the Educators covered by this agreement for purposes of

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

collective bargaining: Arlington Education Association

- X) **Performance Rating:** Describes the Educator's performance on each performance standard and overall. There shall be four performance ratings:
- Exemplary: the Educator's performance consistently and significantly exceeds the requirements of a standard or overall. The rating of exemplary on a standard indicates that practice significantly exceeds proficient, and could serve as a model of practice on that standard district-wide.
 - Proficient: the Educator's performance fully and consistently meets the requirements of a standard or overall. Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory.
 - Needs Improvement: the Educator's performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall, but is not considered to be unsatisfactory at this time. Improvement is necessary and expected.
 - Unsatisfactory: the Educator's performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of needs improvement, or the Educator's performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both.
- Y) **Performance Standards:** Locally developed standards and indicators pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71, § 38 and consistent with, and supplemental to 603 CMR 35.00. The parties may agree to limit standards and indicators to those set forth in 603 CMR 35.03.
- Z) **Professional Teacher Status:** PTS is the status granted to an Educator pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71, § 41.
- AA) **Rating of Overall Educator Performance:** The Educator's overall performance rating is based on the Evaluator's professional judgment and examination of evidence of the Educator's performance against the four Performance Standards and progress towards the Educator's attainment of goals set forth in the Educator Plan, as follows:
- i) Standard 1: Curriculum, Planning and Assessment
 - ii) Standard 2: Teaching All Students
 - iii) Standard 3: Family and Community Engagement
 - iv) Standard 4: Professional Culture
 - v) Attainment of Professional Practice Goal(s)

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- vi) Attainment of Goal(s)
- BB) **Rubric:** A scoring tool that describes characteristics of practice or evidence at different levels of performance. The rubrics for Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice are used to rate Educators on Performance Standards, these rubrics consists of:
 - i) **Standards:** Describes broad categories of professional practice, including those required in 603 CMR 35.03
 - ii) **Indicators:** Describes aspects of each standard, including those required in 603 CMR 35.03. Beginning in FY 18, “Student Learning Indicator” will be added and will say: Consistently demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth and achievement. For teachers who are responsible for direct instruction, these measures must include student progress on common assessments and, where available, statewide student growth measures.
 - iii) **Elements:** Defines the individual components under each indicator
 - iv) **Descriptors:** Describes practice at four levels of performance for each element
- CC) **Summative Evaluation:** An evaluation used to arrive at a rating on each standard, an overall rating, and as a basis to make personnel decisions. The summative evaluation includes the Evaluator’s judgments of the Educator’s performance against Performance Standards and the Educator’s attainment of goals set forth in the Educator’s Plan.
- DD) **Superintendent:** The person employed by the school committee pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71 §59. The superintendent is responsible for the implementation of 603 CMR 35.00.
- EE) **Teacher:** An Educator employed in a position requiring a certificate or license as described in 603 CMR 7.04(3)(a, b, and d) and in the area of vocational education as provided in 603 CMR 4.00. Teachers may include, for example, classroom teachers, librarians, school counselors, or school nurses.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

3) Evidence Used In Evaluation

The following categories of evidence shall be used in evaluating each Educator:

- A) Multiple measures of student learning and growth, which shall include:
 - i) Measures of student progress on classroom assessments that are aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or other relevant frameworks and are comparable within grades or subjects in a school;
 - ii) At least two measures of student learning and growth related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or the Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks or other relevant frameworks that are comparable across grades and/or subjects district-wide. These measures may include: portfolios, approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects. Measures of student progress and/or achievement toward student learning goals set between the Educator and Evaluator for the school year or some other period of time established in the Educator Plan.
 - iii) For Educators whose primary role is not as a classroom teacher, the appropriate measures of the Educator's contribution to student learning and growth, is set by the district after being bargained by the parties. The measures set by the district, as bargained by the parties, should be based on the Educator's role, responsibility, and job description.
- B) Judgments based on observations and artifacts of practice including:
 - i) Unannounced observations of practice of any duration, but not less than 10 minutes, that result in targeted and constructive feedback.
 - ii) Announced observation(s) for the following Plan types: Developing Educator Plans, Directed Growth Plans, and Improvement Plans.
 - iii) Examination of Educator work products.
 - iv) Examination of student work samples.
 - v) Audio and Video recordings and photographs may be used as evidence by mutual consent of the Educator and Evaluator. Documentation of agreement will be in writing using the form as designed by the Evaluation Task Force and renewed each year. Educators may submit any of the above named types of evidence at their own discretion at any time.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- C) Evidence relevant to one or more Performance Standards, including but not limited to:
- i) Evidence compiled and presented by the Educator, including:
 - (a) Evidence of fulfillment of professional responsibilities and growth such as self-assessments, peer collaboration, professional development linked to goals in the Educator plans, contributions to the school community and professional culture;
 - (b) Evidence of active outreach to and engagement with families;
 - ii) Evidence of progress towards professional practice goal(s);
 - iii) Evidence of progress toward student learning outcomes goal(s).
 - iv) Note: The expectation is that an Educator will collect and share the best sources of evidence for each of the four standards, and for each of the two goals. No more than one piece of evidence in each area will be expected. The same evidence may count towards more than one standard, when appropriate.
 - v) At least one piece of evidence must support indicator IB, IIA, IIIC, and IVA. Other pieces can support any indicator in the standard.
 - vi) Educators on a 2 Year Self-Directed Growth Plan will submit a minimum of one piece of evidence for each standard and goal by April 30 of Year 1.
- D) **Other Evidence:** It is understood that there are some aspects of an Educator's practice that cannot be readily observed, but that is key to an Educator's ability to carry out their duties effectively. Timely and appropriate completion of paperwork is an example of one such area. If an Evaluator has concerns related to an area of practice that cannot be readily observed as part of an announced or unannounced observation, they may submit a document to the Evidence Board of the Educator's online evaluation portal. Using any type of evidence that was not directly observed must follow the same guidelines that govern observations under this contract. An Evaluator must document that a concern was:
- i) Brought to the Educator's attention in a timely manner (days, not weeks)
 - ii) Included a targeted, constructive, and specific explanation
 - iii) Educator must have had an opportunity to respond
 - iv) Evaluator must provide a clear picture of the expectation in this area going forward

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

4) **Rubric**

The rubrics are a scoring tool used for the Educator's self-assessment, the formative assessment, the formative evaluation and the summative evaluation. The parties agree that the rubrics identified in Appendix C will be used for the evaluation process. Rubrics for specific job categories may be amended by mutual agreement of the Association and the School Committee.

5) **Evaluation Cycle: Training and Notifications**

The District will provide training on the mechanics of the evaluation system, in addition to the substance.

The Superintendent or their designee will provide a link to the evaluation due dates on the district PD calendar.

6) **Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation**

- A) At the start of each school year, the superintendent, principal or designee shall conduct a meeting for Educators and Evaluators focused substantially on educator evaluation. The superintendent, principal or designee shall:
- i) Provide an overview of the evaluation process, including goal setting and the educator plans and an update on any agreed upon changes to the Evaluation system from the prior year.
 - ii) Provide all Educators with directions for obtaining a copy of the forms used by the district. These may be electronically provided.
 - iii) Note: The faculty meeting may be digitally recorded to facilitate orientation of Educators hired after the beginning of the school year.

7) **Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment** - While conducting a general self-assessment can be a productive and worthwhile activity that helps Educators reflect on their practice, it is understood that most of the Educator's time and effort will go into drafting Professional Practice and Student Learning goals aligned with School and District goals, and developing an appropriate Educator Plan. There is no required documentation, nor are there any forms associated with the Self-Assessment activity.

A) Completing the Self-Assessment

- i) The evaluation cycle begins with the Educator reviewing District and School

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

goals, as well as receiving broad guidelines from their Evaluator about which standards and curriculum areas to focus on.

- ii) The self-assessment includes:
 - (a) An analysis of evidence of student learning and growth for students under the Educator's responsibility. Note: Evaluators must provide data related to MCAS and other district common assessments,
 - (b) An assessment of practice against each of the four Performance Standards of effective practice using the district's rubric.
 - (c) Proposed goals to pursue:
 - (1) At least one goal directly related to improving the Educator's own professional practice.
 - (2) At least one goal directly related to improving student learning.

B) Proposing the goals

- i) Educators must consider goals for grade-level, subject-area, department teams, or other groups of Educators who share responsibility for student learning and results, except as provided in (ii) below. Educators may meet with teams to consider establishing team goals. Evaluators may participate in such meetings. Evaluators may give Educators broad guidelines about the areas of curriculum and practice to focus on, but they will not dictate specific goals for the Educator. The intention is that this is a collaborative process guided by the Evaluator, but detailed by the Educator. Evaluators may also work with an Educator to revise and refine final goals. Prior to the goal setting process, school and/or district leaders will provide educators with assessment data, analysis, and copies of school and district goals.
- ii) For Educators in their first year of practice, the Primary Evaluator or his/her designee will meet with each Educator by October 1st (or within four weeks of the Educator's first day of employment, if the Educator begins employment after September 15th) to assist the Educator in completing the self-assessment and drafting the professional practice and student learning goals. Educators in their first year of practice will also participate in the district induction and mentoring activities.
- iii) Unless the Evaluator indicates that an Educator in his/her second or third years of practice should continue to address induction and mentoring activities

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

pursuant to 603 CMR 7.12., the Educator may address shared grade level or subject area team goals.

- iv) For Educators on a Self-Directed Growth Plan, or with approval from his/her Evaluator, the goals may be team goals. In addition, these Educators may include individual professional practice goals that address enhancing skills that enable the Educator to share proficient practices with colleagues or develop leadership skills.
- v) In addition, Educators on a Two Year Self Directed Growth Plan may elect to work on goals that would span both years of the plan.
- vi) Evaluators will explain that Student Goals must be measurable, but the precise measure does not need to be included as a percentage. Ex. All students in my 3rd grade class will write an opinion writing piece in which they introduce a topic, state an opinion, supply a minimum of two reasons that support the opinion, and a concluding statement. (Versus 85% of the students in my class will...)
- vii) For Educators on a Directed Growth or Improvement Plan, the professional practice goal must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement in the Plan. In addition, the goals may address shared grade level or subject area team goals.

8) **Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Educator Plan Development**

- A) District, Department and/or School goals that could affect educator goals will be finalized by the end of the prior school year.
- B) Every Educator has an Educator Plan that includes, but is not limited to, one goal related to the improvement of practice; one goal for the improvement of student learning. The Plan also outlines actions the Educator must take to attain the goals established in the Plan and benchmarks to assess progress. Goals may be developed by individual Educators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Educators who have similar roles and/or responsibilities. See Sections 15-19 for more on Educator Plans.
- C) To determine the goals to be included in the Educator Plan, the Evaluator reviews the goals the Educator has proposed using evidence of Educator performance and expected impact based on the Educator's goal setting process and other sources that the Evaluator shares with the Educator.
- D) Educator Plan Development Meetings shall be conducted as follows:
 - i) Educators in the same school may meet with the Evaluator in teams and/or

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

individually at the end of the previous evaluation cycle or by October 15th of the next academic year to develop their Educator Plan. Educators shall not be expected to meet during the summer hiatus.

- ii) For those Educators new to the school, the meeting with the Evaluator to establish the Educator Plan must occur by October 15th or within six weeks of the start of their assignment in that school
- iii) The Evaluator shall meet individually with Educators on a Directed Growth or Improvement Plan to develop professional practice goal(s) that must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement in the Plan. In addition, the goals may address shared grade level or subject matter goals.

E) During the development of the Educator Plan, evaluators shall communicate clear expectations for educator impact, including but not limited to anticipated student learning gains for the multiple measures that will be used as evidence of educator performance. Anticipated student learning gains must be consistent across the district for common assessments and agreed upon by the educator and evaluator for other classroom assessments.

- F) The Primary Evaluator approves the Educator Plan by November 1st. The Educator shall sign the Educator Plan within 5 school days of its receipt and may include a written response. The Educator's signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents. The Evaluator retains final authority over the content of the Educator's Plan, though the expectation is that this will be a collaborative process between the Educator and the Evaluator.

9) Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators without PTS in the first three years of practice in the District, or Educators with PTS during the first year teaching under a new license:

- A) The Educator shall have at least four unannounced observations in their first year in the District and three unannounced observations in their second and third years in the District. All non-PTS educators shall have one announced observation during the evaluation plan year.
- B) For educators in their first year in the District, the first unannounced observation must occur between the beginning of the school year and October 1. One announced, full period observation must take place between October 1 and November 1. The evaluator will inform the educator of the date and time of the observation at least two full school days in advance. The second unannounced observation will take place between November 1 and December 23.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- C) For educators in their second and third years in the District, the first unannounced observation must occur between the beginning of the school year and November 1. The announced, full period observation must take place by December. The evaluator will inform the educator of the date and time of the announced observation at least two full school days in advance.
- D) Two additional unannounced observations must take place between January and April. See Appendix B for dates and timelines of observations. The dates provided in the Educator Plan timelines are deadlines. Observations may take place sooner than the deadline indicates, but must be reasonably spaced out over time. If the first three observations of an educator are rated proficient or greater, the fourth may be waived.
- E) Educators with PTS in the first year teaching under a new license will follow the same plans as educators without PTS in years two and three.

10) Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators with PTS on a Self-Directed Growth Plan

- A) The Educator whose overall rating is proficient or exemplary must have at least two unannounced observations during the evaluation Plan year.
- B) The first unannounced observation must occur by December 23.
- C) One additional unannounced observation must take place between January 1 and April 30. See Appendix B for dates and timelines of observations. The dates provided in the Educator Plan timelines are deadlines. Observations may take place sooner than the deadline indicates, but must be reasonably spaced out over time.

11) Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators with PTS on Directed Growth Plan

- A) The Educator whose overall rating is Needs Improvement must be observed according to the Directed Growth Plan during the period of the Plan which must include at least five unannounced observations and one announced observation.
- B) The first unannounced observation must occur between the beginning of the school year and October 15. The second unannounced observation must occur between October 15 and November 15.
- C) The first announced, full period observation must occur between November 15 and December 23. The evaluator will inform the educator of the date and time of the announced observation at least two full school days in advance.
- D) Additional unannounced observations must take place each month in December,

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

January, March, and April.

- E) The Directed Growth Plan will be written according to the requirements outlined in article 18 around provision of a written plan that will include specific assistance to the Educator and specific areas of improvement to be completed before the end of the Plan.
- F) See Appendix B for dates and timelines of observations.

11) Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators with PTS on an Improvement Plan

- A) The Educator must be observed according to the Improvement Plan, which must include both unannounced and announced observations.
- B) The first unannounced observation will occur by September 30.
- C) Two additional unannounced observations will take place in October, two in November, and one in December.
- D) One announced observation will take place by the Formative Assessment review. The announced observation will take the place of one of the unannounced observations for the month in which it occurs. The evaluator will inform the educator of the date and time of the announced observation at least two full school days in advance.
- E) Additional unannounced observations will occur at least once a month from January on until the end of the Improvement Plan, if the Plan extends beyond the December vacation.
- F) The Improvement Plan will include the requirements of article 19 below around provision of a written plan that will include specific assistance to the Educator and specific areas of improvement to be completed before the end of the Plan.
- G) The minimum time period of the first Improvement Plan will be from the beginning of the school year until the December vacation.
- H) A successive improvement plan will have a 30 school day minimum time plan (Improvement Plan – Directed Growth Plan – Improvement Plan).
- I) See Appendix B for dates and timelines of observations.

13) Observations

The Evaluator is not required nor expected to review all the indicators in a rubric during an observation.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

A) Unannounced Observations

- i) Unannounced observations may be in the form of partial or full-period classroom visitations, but not less than 10 minutes. These observations must result in targeted and constructive feedback. An Evaluator will signal to the Educator that an observation is underway by taking notes and spending a minimum of ten minutes in the room.
- ii) Instructional Rounds, Walkthroughs, and Learning Walks are non-evaluative, collaborative observation protocols designed to assess overall school culture. This does not preclude an Evaluator from providing feedback after such visit, however this feedback will not be part of the formal evaluation process.
- iii) If a principal or Curriculum Director is accompanied by his/her own Evaluator during an observation, the primary purpose of that second Evaluator's presence is to evaluate the principal or Curriculum Director, not the Educator. At the request of the Educator, the Primary and Contributing Evaluator may conduct a joint observation.
- iv) **Evaluation calibration observations will be allowed for the purpose of improving Evaluator practice under the following conditions:**
 - (a) First year teachers would be exempt
 - (b) Maximum two of these visits per Educator per year unless teacher volunteers for more
 - (c) Evaluators will indicate to the educator that this is an evaluation calibration visit by showing an index card
 - (d) Time duration - 15 minutes maximum
 - (e) Maximum of two Evaluators at a time
 - (f) Follow-up email thanking the Educator for the visit
- v) Department meetings, parent meetings, IEP meetings, PLC meetings, grade level meetings, etc., may also be used as observations. In this case, Evaluators will alert the Educator to this fact at the beginning of the meeting by stating, "I am going to act as an observer during this meeting." The Evaluator will then refrain from participating in the meeting in order to be an objective observer.
- vi) The Educator will be provided with at least brief written feedback from the Evaluator within 5 school days of the observation and before the follow up meeting. The written feedback shall include any topics the Evaluator will be raising in the subsequent feedback conference. At the request of the Educator, the post-observation conference can happen prior to written feedback being received.
- vii) Each Evaluator will articulate in writing how they will offer times that they are available for follow-up conferences with Educators. This plan will be given to all

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

Educators they will be evaluating at the beginning of the year. Following the receipt of draft written feedback on an observation, Educators will contact the Evaluator for a 15 – 20 minute time slot to discuss the observation. Evaluators will ensure that there are sufficient time slots available before, during, and after school for these follow up conversations. Educators and Evaluators will work together to set up a mutually convenient time to meet.

- viii) Post-observation meetings may be held in person or virtually.
- ix) This timeframe may be extended due to unavailability on the part of either the Evaluator or the Educator during the five days after the observation. All attempts will be made to schedule the post-observation meeting in a timely manner.
- x) The Evaluator shall provide the Educator with final written feedback within 5 school days of the post-observation meeting.
- xi) Any observation, series of observations, or collection of evidence resulting in one or more standards judged to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement for the first time must be followed by at least one observation of at least 30 minutes, or a meeting to review additional evidence within 30 school days.
- xii) For any standard where the Educator’s practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:
 - (1) Describe the basis for the Evaluator’s judgment.
 - (2) Describe actions the Educator should take to improve his/her performance.
 - (3) Identify support and/or resources the Educator may use in his/her improvement.
 - (4) State the expectation for what the Educator must do to achieve proficiency in this area.

J) **Announced Observations**

- i) All non-PTS Educators, Educators teaching under a new license for the first year only, and PTS Educators on Directed Growth or Improvement Plans shall have at least one Announced Observation of a full class period or lesson (or its equivalent for non-teaching Educators).
 - (a) The Evaluator shall select the date and time of the lesson or activity to be observed, which must be at least two full school days in advance of the

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- observation, and discuss with the Educator any specific goal(s) for the observation.
- (b) Within 5 school days of the scheduled observation the Evaluator and Educator shall meet for a pre-observation conference.
 - (1) At the request of the Evaluator, the Educator shall provide a draft of the lesson, student conference, IEP plan or activity prior to the observation.
 - (2) The Educator will be notified as soon as possible if the Evaluator will not be able to attend the scheduled observation. The observation will be rescheduled with the Educator as soon as reasonably practical.
 - (c) The Educator will be provided with at least brief written feedback from the Evaluator within 5 school days of the observation. The written feedback shall include any topics the Evaluator will be raising in the subsequent feedback conference. At the request of the Educator, the post-observation conference can happen prior to written feedback being received.
 - (d) Within 5 school days of the observation, the Evaluator and Educator shall meet for a post-observation ~~conference~~ meeting. This timeframe may be extended due to unavailability on the part of either the Evaluator or the Educator during the 5 days after the observation. All attempts will be made to schedule the post-observation in a timely manner.
 - (e) Each Evaluator will articulate in writing how they will offer times that they are available for follow-up conferences with Educators. This plan will be given to all Educators they will be evaluating at the beginning of the year. Following the receipt of draft written feedback on an observation, Educators will contact the Evaluator for a 15 – 20 minute time slot to discuss the observation. Evaluators will ensure that there are sufficient time slots available before, during, and after school for these follow up conferences. Educators and Evaluators will work together to set up a mutually convenient time to meet.
 - (f) The Evaluator shall provide the Educator with final written feedback within 5 school days of the post-observation meeting.
 - (g) For any standard where the Educator's practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- (1) Describe the basis for the Evaluator's judgment.
- (2) Describe actions the Educator should take to improve his/her performance.
- (3) Identify support and/or resources the Educator may use in his/her improvement.
- (4) State the expectation for what the Educator must do to achieve proficiency in this area.

2) Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment

- A) A specific purpose for evaluation is to promote student learning and growth by providing Educators with feedback for improvement. Evaluators are expected to make frequent unannounced visits to classrooms. Evaluators are expected to give targeted and constructive feedback to Educators based on their observations of practice, examination of evidence, and analysis of multiple measures of student learning and growth in relation to the Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice.
- B) Formative Assessment may be ongoing throughout the evaluation cycle but typically takes place mid-cycle when a Formative Assessment report is completed. For an Educator on a two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan, the mid-cycle Formative Assessment report is replaced by the Formative Evaluation report at the end of year one. See section 13, below.
- C) The Formative Assessment report provides written feedback to the Educator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan and performance on the Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice.
- D) No less than two weeks before the due date for the Formative Assessment report (see timelines in Appendix B) the Educator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence on the standards and their goals.
- E) The Evaluator and the Educator will meet to discuss the Formative Assessment Report. See timelines in Appendix B. A draft of the Formative Assessment Report shall be provided to the Educator through the password protected online evaluation program at least 24 hours prior to the Formative Assessment conference.
- F) Following the Formative Assessment conference, the Evaluator shall complete the final Formative Assessment report and provide a copy to the Educator. All Formative Assessment reports must be signed by the Evaluator and Educator in Baseline Edge.
- G) The Educator may reply in writing to the Formative Assessment report within 5 school

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

days of receiving the report using an Educator Response form.

- H) The Educator shall sign the Formative Assessment report within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Formative Assessment report. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
 - I) As a result of the Formative Assessment Report, the Evaluator may change the activities in the Educator Plan.
 - J) If at the Formative Assessment report time, the Evaluator discerns that there have been significant changes in the performance of the Educator since the last summative rating the Educator received, the Evaluator will indicate that failure to improve in the indicated areas **may** result in the recommendation for a change in rating and plan type at the Summative or Formative Evaluation period. This statement will be included in the final Formative Assessment report.
 - K) For any standard where the Educator's practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:
 - (1) Describe the basis for the Evaluator's judgment.
 - (2) Describe actions the Educator should take to improve his/her performance.
 - (3) Identify support and/or resources the Educator may use in his/her improvement.
 - (4) State the expectation for what the Educator must do to achieve proficiency in this area.
- 3) **Evaluation Cycle: Formative Evaluation for Two Year Self-Directed Plans Only**
- A) Educators on two year Self-Directed Growth Educator Plans receive a Formative Evaluation report near the end of the first year of the two year cycle. The Educator's performance rating for that year shall be assumed to be the same as the previous summative rating unless evidence demonstrates a significant change in performance in which case the rating on the performance standards may change, and the Evaluator may place the Educator on a Directed Growth Plan for the following year.
 - B) The Formative Evaluation report provides written feedback and ratings to the Educator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on each performance standard and performance overall.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- C) No less than two weeks before the due date for the Formative Evaluation report (see timelines in Appendix B), the Educator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence on the standards and goals.
- D) The Evaluator shall complete the draft Formative Evaluation report and provide a copy to the Educator through the password protected Baseline Edge software program at least two school days prior to the conference.
- E) Following the Formative Evaluation conversation, the Evaluator shall complete the final Formative Evaluation report and provide a copy to the Educator. All Formative Evaluation reports must be signed by the Evaluator and Educator in Baseline Edge.
- F) The Educator may reply in writing to the Formative Evaluation report within 5 school days of receiving the report using an Educator Response form.
- G) The Educator shall sign the Formative Evaluation report within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Formative Evaluation report. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
- H) As a result of the Formative Evaluation report, the Evaluator may change the activities in the Educator Plan.
- I) If the rating in the Formative Evaluation report differs from the last summative rating the Educator received, the Evaluator may place the Educator on a Directed Growth Plan during the next school year.
- J) If the Evaluator is assigning ratings that differ from prior Summative Evaluations, a paper copy of the signed final Formative Evaluation report and any Educator response to the report shall be filed in the Educator's personnel file at the end of the year. The Educator and Evaluator will initial their digital signature on the hard copy. In addition, the Principal will sign and date the document before it is placed in the personnel file.
- K) For any standard where the Educator's practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:
 - (1) Describe the basis for the Evaluator's judgment.
 - (2) Describe actions the Educator should take to improve his/her performance.
 - (3) Identify support and/or resources the Educator may use in his/her improvement.
 - (4) State the expectation for what the Educator must do to achieve proficiency in this area.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

4) Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation

- A) The evaluation cycle concludes with a summative evaluation report. For Educators on a one or two year Educator Plan, evaluators must complete and sign off on the summative evaluation report at least two school days prior to the summative evaluation meeting.
- B) The Evaluator determines a rating on each standard and an overall rating based on the Evaluator's professional judgment, an examination of evidence against the Performance Standards and evidence of progress towards the attainment of the Educator Plan goals.
- C) The summative evaluation rating must be based on evidence from multiple categories of evidence..
- D) To be rated proficient overall, a PTS Educator shall, at a minimum, have been rated proficient on the Curriculum, Planning and Assessment and the Teaching All Students Standards of Effective Teaching Practice.
- E) The Educator will provide to the Evaluator evidence on standards and goals no less than two weeks before the due date for the Summative Evaluation report. (See Appendix B)
- F) The Summative Evaluation report should recognize areas of strength as well as identify recommendations for professional growth.
- G) The Evaluator shall deliver a signed copy of the Summative Evaluation through Baseline Edge no later than **two school days** prior to the Summative Evaluation conference.
- H) The Evaluator shall meet with non-PTS Educators, and PTS Educators rated needs improvement or unsatisfactory to discuss the summative evaluation by **June 1**.
- I) The Evaluator will meet with the Educator rated proficient or exemplary to discuss the summative evaluation by **June 10**.
- J) Upon mutual agreement, the Educator and the Evaluator may develop the Self-Directed Growth Plan for the following two years during the meeting on the Summative Evaluation report. This undertaking is optional.
- K) The Educator shall sign the final Summative Evaluation report within five days after receiving it. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Summative Evaluation. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
- L) The Educator shall have the right to respond in writing to the Summative Evaluation, which shall become part of the final Summative Evaluation report.
- M) A paper copy of the signed final Summative Evaluation report and any Educator

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

response to the report shall be filed in the Educator's personnel file at the end of the year. The Educator and Evaluator will initial their digital signature on the hard copy. In addition, the Principal will sign and date the document before it is placed in the personnel file.

- N) For any standard where the Educator's practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:
- (1) Describe the basis for the Evaluator's judgment.
 - (2) Describe actions the Educator should take to improve his/her performance.
 - (3) Identify support and/or resources the Educator may use in his/her improvement.
 - (4) State the expectation for what the Educator must do to achieve proficiency in this area.

5) **Educator Plans – General**

- A) Educator Plans shall be designed to provide Educators with feedback for improvement, professional growth, and leadership; and to ensure Educator effectiveness and overall system accountability. The Plan must be aligned to the standards and indicators and be consistent with department and school goals.
- B) The Educator Plan shall include, but is not limited to:
- i) At least one goal related to improvement of practice tied to one or more Performance Standards;
 - ii) At least one goal for the improvement of student learning for the students under the Educator's responsibility;
 - iii) An outline of actions the Educator must take to attain the goals and benchmarks to assess progress. Actions must include specified professional development and learning activities that the Educator will participate in as a means of obtaining the goals, as well as other support that may be suggested by the Evaluator or provided by the school or district. Examples may include but are not limited to coursework, self-study, action research, curriculum development, study groups with peers, and implementing new programs.
- C) It is the Educator's responsibility to work toward attaining the goals in the Plan and to participate in any training and professional development provided through the state,

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

district, or other providers in accordance with the Educator Plan.

6) **Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan**

- A) The Developing Educator Plan is for all Educators without PTS, and Educators with PTS in new assignments teaching under a different license for the first year only.
- B) The Educator shall be evaluated at least annually.

7) **Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan**

- A) A Two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS who have an overall rating of proficient or exemplary. A Formative Evaluation report is completed at the end of year 1 and a Summative Evaluation report at the end of year 2.

8) **Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan**

- A) A Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS whose overall rating is, needs improvement.
- B) The goals in the Plan must address areas identified as needing improvement as determined by the Evaluator.
- C) The Directed Growth Plan process shall include:
 - i) Within ten school days of notification to the Educator that the Educator is being placed on a Directed Growth Plan, the Primary Evaluator and Building Principal shall schedule a meeting with the Educator to discuss the Directed Growth Plan. The Evaluator(s) and Educator will mutually develop a specific plan of assistance to address the areas of need specified in writing by the Evaluator(s).
 - ii) The Educator may request that a representative of the Employee Organization/Association attend the meeting(s).
 - iii) The Association will be informed that an Educator has been placed on a Directed Growth Plan, but no further details will be provided without the consent of the Educator.
- D) The Directed Growth Plan shall:

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- i) Define the improvement goals directly related to the performance standard(s) and/or student learning outcomes that must be improved;
 - ii) Describe the activities and work products the Educator must complete as a means of improving performance;
 - iii) Describe the assistance that the district will make available to the Educator;
 - iv) Articulate the measurable outcomes that will be accepted as evidence of improvement;
 - v) Detail the timeline for completion of each component of the Plan, including at a minimum a mid-cycle formative assessment report of the relevant standard(s) and indicator(s);
 - vi) Identify the individuals assigned to assist the Educator which must include minimally the Supervising Evaluator; and,
 - vii) Include the signatures of the Educator and Supervising Evaluator. The Educator's signature indicates that the Educator received the Improvement Plan. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
 - viii) A paper copy of the plan shall be placed in the Educator's personnel file.
- E) The Evaluator shall complete a Summative Evaluation for the Educator at the end of the period determined by the Plan, but at least annually, and in no case later than two school days before the summative evaluation meeting.
- F) For an Educator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is at least proficient, the Evaluator will place the Educator on a Self-Directed Growth Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.
- G) For an Educator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is not at least proficient, the Evaluator will rate the Educator as unsatisfactory and will place the Educator on an Improvement Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.
- 9) **Educator Plans: Improvement Plan**
- A) An Improvement Plan is for those Educators with PTS whose overall rating is unsatisfactory.
 - B) The parties agree that in order to provide students with the best instruction, it may be necessary to place an Educator whose practice has been rated as unsatisfactory on an

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

Improvement Plan. An Improvement Plan will begin in September and will have a minimum duration through December vacation, and last no more than one school year.

- C) The Evaluator must complete a Summative Evaluation for the Educator at the end of the period determined by the Improvement Plan.
- D) An Educator on an Improvement Plan shall be assigned an Evaluator, who will be responsible for providing the Educator with guidance and assistance in accessing the resources and professional development outlined in the Improvement Plan.
- E) The Improvement Plan shall define the problem(s) of practice identified through the observations and evaluation and detail the improvement goals to be met, the activities the Educator must take to improve and the assistance to be provided to the Educator by the district.
- F) The Improvement Plan process shall include:
 - i) Within ten school days of notification to the Educator that the Educator is being placed on an Improvement Plan, the Primary Evaluator and Building Principal shall schedule a meeting with the Educator to discuss the Improvement Plan. The Evaluator(s) and Educator will mutually develop a specific plan of assistance to address the areas of need specified in writing by the Evaluator.
 - ii) The Educator may request that a representative of the Employee Association attend the meeting(s).
 - iii) The Employee Association will be informed that an Educator has been placed on an Improvement Plan, but no further details will be provided without the consent of the Educator.
- G) The Improvement Plan shall:
 - i) Define the improvement goals directly related to the performance standard(s) and/or student learning outcomes that must be improved;
 - ii) Describe the activities and work products the Educator must complete as a means of improving performance;
 - iii) Describe the assistance that the district will make available to the Educator;
 - iv) Articulate the measurable outcomes that will be accepted as evidence of improvement;
 - v) Detail the timeline for completion of each component of the Plan, including at a minimum a mid-cycle formative assessment report of the relevant standard(s)

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- and indicator(s);
 - vi) Identify the individuals assigned to assist the Educator which must include minimally the Supervising Evaluator; and,
 - vii) Include the signatures of the Educator and Supervising Evaluator. The Educator's signature indicates that the Educator received the Improvement Plan. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
 - viii) A paper copy of the Improvement Plan shall be placed in the Educator's personnel file.
- H) Decision on the Educator's status at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan.
- i) All determinations below must be made no later than June 1. One of three decisions must be made at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan:
 - (a) If the Evaluator determines that the Educator has improved his/her practice to the level of proficiency, the Educator will be placed on a Self-Directed Growth Plan.
 - (b) If the Evaluator determines that the Educator is making substantial progress toward proficiency, the Evaluator shall place the Educator on a Directed Growth Plan.
 - (c) If the Evaluator determines that the Educator's practice remains at the level of unsatisfactory, the Evaluator shall recommend to the superintendent that the Educator be dismissed.

20. Career Advancement

- A) In order to attain Professional Teacher Status, the Educator should achieve ratings of proficient or exemplary on each Performance Standard and overall. A principal considering making an employment decision that would lead to PTS for any Educator who has not been rated proficient or exemplary on each performance standard and overall on the most recent evaluation shall confer with the Superintendent by May 1. The principal's decision is subject to review and approval by the Superintendent.
- B) In order to qualify to apply for a teacher leader position, the Educator must have had a Summative Evaluation performance rating of proficient or exemplary for at least the previous two years.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

21. Using Student Feedback in Educator Evaluation

The Educator shall establish an age-appropriate method for seeking student feedback prior to the end of the current educator plan. Educators will determine the appropriate group or sub-groups of students to survey. The Educator will inform students that identifying themselves on the feedback mechanism is optional. The feedback will be used solely by the Educator to inform the Educator's self-assessment and goal setting for the subsequent educator plan.

22. Using Staff Feedback in Administrator Evaluation

Educators are ensured the opportunity to provide feedback to Administrators in a manner that assures the confidentiality of identity of the Educator. The feedback will be used solely by the Evaluator to inform the Evaluator's self-assessment and goal setting for the subsequent educator plan.

23. General Provisions

- A) **Licensed Evaluators:** Only Educators who are licensed with appropriate supervisory licenses, and who are employed by the district as administrators, and whose positions are included in the Evaluator list in Appendix A, may serve as Evaluators of Educators. No additions or substitutions can be made to this list without notice to and agreement of the Association.
- B) **Confidentiality:** Evaluators shall not make negative comments about the Educator's performance, or comments of a negative evaluative nature, in the presence of students, parents or other staff, except in the unusual circumstance where the Evaluator concludes that s/he must immediately and directly intervene. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to limit an administrator's ability to investigate a complaint, or secure assistance to support an Educator.
- C) **Training on Evaluation System:** The Superintendent shall ensure that Evaluators have training in supervision and evaluation, including the regulations and standards and indicators of effective teaching practice promulgated by ESE (35.03), and all the information as included in the six training modules as specified by the Department of Education. In addition, the Superintendent will ensure that all Evaluators have specific training around discourse necessary to support a coaching model. A folder documenting trainings held, including agendas and attendance records, will be kept in the Superintendent's office.
- D) **Dispute Process:** Should there be a serious disagreement between the Educator and the Evaluator regarding an overall summative performance rating of unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the Educator may meet with the Evaluator's supervisor to discuss the disagreement. Should the Educator request such a meeting, the Evaluator's supervisor must meet with the Educator. The Evaluator may attend any such meeting at

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

the discretion of the Superintendent. At the Educator's request, a member of the Association may also attend the meeting.

- E) **Joint Labor-Management Evaluation Task Force:** The parties agree to establish a joint labor-management Evaluation Task Force, which shall review the evaluation processes and procedures annually and recommend adjustments to the parties that will be subsequently bargained.
- F) **Deadline for Changes to Evaluation System:** Any changes to the evaluation system that are bargained shall be made in time for ratification by the AEA on the 3rd Thursday in May.
- G) **Template for Directed Growth and Improvement Plans:** The Association and the Administration will collaborate on a template to be used for the creation of Directed Growth and Improvement Plans.
- H) **Mid-Year Plan Changes:** There will be no mid-year plan changes..
- I) **Educator Time for Evaluation System:** The following meeting dates will be dedicated to meeting the requirements of the new Evaluation System:
 - a. September Early Release: Work on Goals and Educator Plan Development
 - b. One district meeting in January and one district meeting in April will be designated as PLC time for the purpose of collaboration and Evidence Collection. These meetings will be scheduled before the January and April due dates for Evidence Collection. The dates of these meetings will be communicated on the meeting calendar by the end of September.
 - a.
- J) Violations of this article are subject to the grievance and arbitration procedures.
- K) **Exemplary:** The School Committee and the AEA agree that Evaluators will not use the overall rating of Exemplary in the 2022-2023 school year.
- L) **Note on Late Hires:** The Human Resource office will ensure that educators hired after the new teacher orientation dates understand where to access information on the evaluation system, and what their specific timelines and number of observations will be. The observations will be proportional to the amount of time they will be teaching in the school year. Proposed adjusted timelines and observation schedule will be in writing and communicated to the teacher, the Superintendent or his/her designee and the President of the Arlington Education Association.
- M) **Note on Teachers on Leave at the End of the Year:** Teachers who are on leave at the end of the school year will have observations in proportion to the amount of time they are teaching in the school year. Teachers will receive a final rating in a summative or formative evaluation.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

N) **Additional Observation Forms:** Additional observation forms beyond those routinely provided can be obtained by contacting the Human Resources Office.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

APPENDIX A – APS EVALUATION CHARTS

ARLINGTON HIGH SCHOOL

| STAFF POSITION | PRIMARY EVALUATOR | CONTRIBUTING EVALUATOR(S) |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Alternative Programs - Workplace | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean |
| Alternative Programs - Mill Brook | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Special Education Coordinator |
| Digital Learning | Director of Digital Learning or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Digital Learning |
| English | Director of English or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean/Director of English |
| English Learners (EL) | Director of ELL or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of ELL |
| Family & Consumer Science | Director of Wellness or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean/ | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Wellness |
| School Counseling and Social Workers | Director of School Counseling and SEL or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean/ | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of School Counseling and SEL |
| History & Social Sciences | Director of Social Studies or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean/ | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Social Studies |
| Librarian/Media Specialist | Director of Digital Learning or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Digital Learning |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Mathematics | Director of Mathematics or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Mathematics |
| Computer Science | Director of Mathematics or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Mathematics |
| Nurse | Director of Nursing | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean |
| PE, Health & Wellness | Director of Wellness or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Wellness |
| Performing Arts | Director of Performing Arts or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Performing Arts |
| Reading Specialist | Director of English/ or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Special Education Coordinator | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of English |
| Science & Technology/Engineering | Director of Science or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Science |
| Visual Arts | Director of Visual Arts or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Visual Arts |
| World Languages | Director of World Languages or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of World Languages |
| | | |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| AHS Special Education: | | |
| Learning Specialist | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Special Education Coordinator |
| School Psychologist | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLC Classroom Teacher | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLC Social Worker | Special Education Coordinator or Director/Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLP | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Special Education Coordinator |
| Team Chair | Special Education Coordinator or Director/Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Special Education Coordinator |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

OTTOSON MIDDLE SCHOOL

| STAFF POSITION | PRIMARY EVALUATOR | CONTRIBUTING EVALUATOR(s) |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| A.C.E. | Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal |
| Bridge Program | Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal |
| Digital Learning | Director of Digital Learning or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Digital Learning |
| Computer Science | Director of Mathematics or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Mathematics |
| English | Director of English or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of English |
| English Learners (EL) | Director of ELL or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of ELL |
| Family & Consumer Science | Director of Wellness or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Wellness |
| School Counseling and Social Workers | Director of School Counseling and SEL or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of School Counseling and SEL |
| Social Studies | Director of Social Studies or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Social Studies |
| Librarian/Media Specialist | Director of Digital Learning or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean or Director of Digital Learning |
| Mathematics | Director of Mathematics or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Mathematics |
| Nurse | Director of Nursing | Principal/Assistant Principal |
| PE, Health & Wellness | Director of Wellness or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal/Director of Wellness |
| Performing Arts | Director of Performing Arts or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Performing Arts |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Reading Specialist | Director of English or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of English |
| Science & Technology/Engineering | Director of Science or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Science |
| Visual Arts | Director of Visual Arts or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Visual Arts |
| World Languages | Director of World Languages or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of World Languages |
| Special Education: | | |
| Learning Specialist | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| OT | Special Education Coordinator or Director/Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| Psychologist | Special Education Coordinator or Director/Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLC Classroom Teacher | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLC Social Worker | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLP | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| Team Chairs | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| | | |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

GIBBS SIXTH GRADE SCHOOL

| STAFF POSITION | PRIMARY EVALUATOR | CONTRIBUTING EVALUATOR(s) |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Digital Learning | Director of Digital Learning or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Digital Learning |
| English | Director of English or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of English |
| English Learners (EL) | Director of EL or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of EL |
| Family & Consumer Science | Director of Wellness or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Wellness |
| School Counseling and Social Workers | Director of School Counseling and Social/Emotional Learning or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of School Counseling and Social/Emotional Learning |
| Social Studies | Director of Social Studies or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Social Studies |
| Librarian/Media Specialist | Director of Digital Learning or Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean | Principal/Assistant Principal or Dean/Director of Digital Learning |
| Mathematics | Director of Mathematics or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Mathematics |
| Computer Science | Director of Mathematics or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Mathematics |
| Nurse | Director of Nursing | Principal/Assistant Principal |
| PE, Health & Wellness | Director of Wellness or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Wellness |
| Performing Arts | Director of Performing Arts or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Performing Arts |
| Reading Specialist | Director of English or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of English |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Science & Technology/Engineering | Director of Science or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal/Director of Science |
| Visual Arts | Director of Visual Arts or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Visual Arts |
| World Languages | Director of World Languages or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of World Languages |
| Special Education: | | |
| Learning Specialist | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| OT | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| Psychologist | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLC Classroom Teacher | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLC Social Worker | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLP | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| Team Chairs | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

| ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| STAFF POSITION | PRIMARY EVALUATOR | CONTRIBUTING EVALUATOR(S) |
| Elementary Classroom Teachers | Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal |
| ELL | Director of ELL or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of ELL |
| Math Coaches | Director of Mathematics or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Mathematics |
| Nurse | Director of Nursing or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Nursing |
| PE, Health & Wellness | Director of Wellness or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Wellness |
| Performing Arts | Director of Performing Arts or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Performing Arts |
| Literacy Coaches | Director of English or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of English |
| Reading Specialist | Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal |
| Librarian/Media Specialist | Director of Digital Learning or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Digital Learning |
| Science Coaches | Director of Science or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Science |
| Social Studies Coaches | Director of Social Studies or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Social Studies |
| SEL Coaches | Director of SEL or Principal/Assistant Principal | Director of SEL or Principal/Assistant Principal |
| Visual Arts | Director of Visual Arts or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Director of Visual Arts |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

| Special Education: | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Building Based Social Worker | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| Classroom Teacher | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| Learning Specialist | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| OT | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| School Psychologist | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| PT | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLC Social Worker | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| SLP | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| Team Chairs | Special Education Coordinator or Principal/Assistant Principal | Principal/Assistant Principal or Special Education Coordinator |
| | | |

For elementary classroom teachers, a curriculum director or Special Education Coordinator may conduct one or more observations if requested by the evaluator or educator. If the curriculum director or coordinator plans to observe a teacher, the teacher will receive advance notice in a reasonable time frame.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

MENOTOMY PRESCHOOL

| STAFF POSITION | PRIMARY EVALUATOR | CONTRIBUTING EVALUATOR(s) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Regular Education Preschool Teacher | Early Childhood Coordinator | |
| Special Education: | | |
| Classroom Teacher | Early Childhood Coordinator | |
| OT | Early Childhood Coordinator | |
| Psychologist | Early Childhood Coordinator | |
| PT | Early Childhood Coordinator | |
| SLP | Early Childhood Coordinator | |
| Social Worker | Early Childhood Coordinator | |
| Team Chair | Early Childhood Coordinator | |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

DISTRICT-WIDE SPECIAL EDUCATION

(For Educators who work in more than two buildings)

| STAFF POSITION | PRIMARY EVALUATOR | CONTRIBUTING EVALUATOR(s) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| OT | Special Education Coordinator | Special Education Coordinator |
| Psychologist | Special Education Coordinator | Special Education Coordinator |
| PT | Special Education Coordinator | Special Education Coordinator |
| SLP | Special Education Coordinator | Special Education Coordinator |
| BCBA | Special Education Coordinator | Special Education Coordinator |
| Teacher of the Visually Impaired | Special Education Coordinator | Special Education Coordinator |
| Assistive Technology Specialist | Special Education Coordinator | Special Education Coordinator |

OTHER DISTRICT-WIDE POSITIONS

| STAFF POSITION | PRIMARY EVALUATOR | CONTRIBUTING EVALUATOR(s) |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Mental Health Assessment and Outreach Specialist | Director of SEL | |
| District-wide Social Worker | Director of SEL | |
| Resource/Floating Nurses | Director of Nursing | |
| DEI Specialist | Director of DEI | |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

APPENDIX B - TIMELINES (Note: For each day that school is canceled for inclement weather or any other reason, the observation and evidence deadlines will be extended by one day)

Timeline for a TWO YEAR SELF-DIRECTED GROWTH PLAN

For Educators with PTS with a rating of Proficient or Exemplary

| Activity: | Completed By: |
|--|--|
| Superintendent, principal or designee meets with Evaluators and Educators to explain evaluation process and provide an update on any agreed upon changes to the evaluation system from the previous year. | <u>September 15</u> |
| Evaluator meets with first-year Educators to assist in self-assessment and goal setting process Educator submits proposed goals | October 1 |
| Evaluator meets with Educators in teams or individually to discuss Educator Plans | October 15 |
| Evaluator approves Educator Plans | November 1 |
| Evaluator completes Unannounced Observation of each Educator | December 23 |
| Evaluator completes 2nd Unannounced Observation | <u>April 30</u> |
| | |
| Educator submits evidence on standards and goals | April 30 |
| Evaluator completes Formative Evaluation Report (Year 1) Evaluator completes Summative Evaluation Report (Year 2) | At least two school days prior to evaluation meeting |
| Evaluator conducts Formative Evaluation Conference (Year 1) Evaluator conducts Summative Evaluation Conference (Year 2) A) If recommendation is to move Educator to Directed Growth Plan B) If staying on Self-Directed Growth Plan | June 1 <u>June 10</u> |
| Educator signs Formative/Summative Evaluation Report and adds response, if any within 5 school days of receipt | June 15 |
| Note: Evaluator and Educator sign Directed Growth Plan for subsequent year, if Educator is moving to Directed Growth Plan | End of School Year |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

Timeline for DEVELOPING EDUCATOR PLAN

Educators with PTS teaching under a new license in the first year only will follow the schedule of observations for non-PTS teachers

| Activity: | Completed By: |
|--|---------------------|
| Superintendent, principal or designee meets with Evaluators and Educators to explain evaluation process and provide an update on any agreed upon changes to the evaluation system from the previous year. | <u>September 15</u> |
| Evaluator meets with first-year Educators to assist in self-assessment and goal setting process Educator submits proposed goals | October 1 |
| 1) Evaluator meets with Educators in teams or individually to discuss Plans 2) Evaluator completes 1 st Unannounced Observation for non-PTS teachers in their first year in the district only. | October 1 |
| 1) Evaluator approves Educator Plans 2) Evaluator completed first Unannounced Observation for non-PTS teachers in their second and third years in the district 3) Evaluator completes Announced Observation of each Educator in their first year in the district | November 1 |
| Evaluator completes 2 nd Unannounced Observation for teachers in their first year or Announced Observation for teachers in years 2 and 3 | December 23 |
| Educator submits evidence on standards and goals | January 21 |
| Evaluator completes mid-cycle Formative Assessment Reports | February 7 |
| Evaluator holds Formative Assessment Conference with Educator | February 28 |
| Evaluator completes 3 rd Unannounced Observation for teachers in their 1 st year or 2 nd Unannounced Observation for teachers in years 2 and 3. Note: Educator will be given a written notice at this meeting if teacher performance indicates POSSIBLE non-renewal. This is not a non-renewal letter, rather it indicates that teacher performance is not where it should be. | March 31 |
| Evaluator completes 4 th Unannounced Observation for educators in their first year, or third Unannounced Observation for -educators in years 2 and 3. This third unannounced observation for educators in years 2 and 3 may be waived if the educator was rated at least proficient in their formative review. | April 30 |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

| | |
|---|--|
| Educator submits evidence on standards and goals | April 30 |
| Evaluator completes Summative Evaluation Report | At least two school days prior to summative evaluation meeting |
| Evaluator conducts Summative Evaluation Conference If decision is made to move an Educator from a Developing Educator Plan to a Directed Growth Plan, the decision must be communicated by June 1 (PTS only) | June 1 |
| Educator signs Summative Evaluation Report and adds response, if any, within 5 school days of receipt | June 15 |
| Evaluator and Educator sign Directed Growth Plan for subsequent year if Educator is moving to a Directed Growth Plan (PTS only) | End of School Year |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

Timeline for DIRECTED GROWTH PLAN

| Activity: | Completed By: |
|--|---|
| Superintendent, principal or designee meets with Evaluators and Educators to explain evaluation process and provide an update on any agreed upon changes to the evaluation system from the previous year. | September 15 |
| Evaluator meets with first-year Educators to assist in self-assessment and goal setting process Educator submits proposed goals | October 1 |
| 1) Evaluator meets with Educators in teams or individually to discuss Plans 2) Evaluator completes 1 st Unannounced Observation | October 15 Between beginning of school year and October 15 |
| Evaluator approves Educator Plans | November 1 |
| Evaluator completes Announced Observation of each Educator | Between October 15 and November 15 |
| Evaluator completes 2 nd Unannounced Observation | Between November 15 and December 22 |
| Educator submits evidence on standards and goals | January 21 |
| Evaluator completes 3 rd Unannounced Observation | January 31 |
| Evaluator completes mid-cycle Formative Assessment Reports | February 7 |
| Evaluator holds Formative Assessment Conference with Educator | February 28 |
| Evaluator completes 4th Unannounced Observation Note: Educator will be given a written notice at this meeting if teacher performance indicates POSSIBLE movement to an improvement plan. This is not a definitive conclusion that the teacher will move to an improvement plan, rather it indicates that teacher performance is not where it should be. | March 31 |
| Evaluator completes 5th Unannounced Observation | April 30 |
| Educator submits evidence on standards and goals | April 30 |
| Evaluator completes Summative Evaluation Report | At least two school days prior to summative |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| | evaluation meeting |
| Evaluator conducts Summative Evaluation Conference If decision is made to move an Educator from a Directed Growth to an Improvement Plan, decision must be communicated by June 1 (PTS only) | June 1 |
| Educator signs Summative Evaluation Report and adds response, if any, within 5 school days of receipt | June 15 |
| Evaluator and Educator sign Improvement Plan for subsequent year if Educator is moving to an Improvement Plan (PTS only) | End of School Year |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

Timeline for IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Timelines will be specifically indicated in the Improvement Plan (individualized for each case)

| Activity: | Completed By: |
|---|--|
| Superintendent, principal or designee meets with Evaluators and Educators to explain evaluation process and provide an update on any agreed upon changes to the evaluation system from the previous year. Note: Teacher Assistance Plan is in place from previous June | <u>September 15</u> |
| Evaluator completes 1 st Unannounced Observation | September 30 |
| Evaluator completes 2 Unannounced Observations in October and November, one in December, and at least one a month after that for the duration of the plan | Monthly |
| Evaluator completes one Announced Observation by Formative Assessment Conference (this will take the place of one of the Unannounced Observations for the month in which it occurs) | Mid-Cycle |
| Educator submits evidence on standards and goals | <u>2 weeks prior to Formative Assessment</u> |
| Evaluator completes mid-cycle Formative Assessment Reports | <u>Mid-Cycle</u> |
| Evaluator holds Formative Assessment Conference with Educator | <u>Mid-Cycle</u> |
| Educator submits evidence on standards and goals | <u>2 weeks prior to Summative Evaluation</u> |
| Evaluator completes Summative Evaluation Report | End of Plan |
| Evaluator conducts Summative Evaluation Conference | End of Plan |
| Educator signs Summative Evaluation Report and adds response, if any within 5 school days of receipt | End of Plan or June 1 |

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

APPENDIX C - RUBRICS

The following rubrics have been agreed to for use in the relevant job categories:

- 1) Classroom Teachers: DESE Model Rubrics
 - a. http://www.doe.mass.edu/eval/model/PartIII_AppxC.pdf
- 2) Social Workers and School Counselors
 - a. DESE Specialized Instructional Support Personnel Rubrics
http://www.doe.mass.edu/eval/model/PartIII_AppxD.pdf
 - b. Supplemented by the DESE Evaluation Tool: Role Specific Indicators to Supplement the Model Rubrics -
http://masca.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=363:role-specific-indicators (With a specific focus on supplemental standards ID and IIE)
- 3) Nurses
 - a. DESE Specialized Instructional Support Personnel Rubrics
http://www.doe.mass.edu/eval/model/PartIII_AppxD.pdf
 - b. Supplemented by the Implementation Support for School Nurses -
<http://www.msno.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/ESE-School-Nurse-Adaptation.pdf> (With a specific focus on supplemental standards ID and IIE)
- 4) OT, PT, SLP
- 5) Library/Media Specialists:
 - a. <https://www.maschoolibraries.org/dese-rubric-school-librarians.html>

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

APPENDIX E - MEDIA RELEASE FORM

Name _____ Date _____
School _____ Department _____

Arlington Public Schools Agreement on Evidence Collection for the Arlington Effective Educator Development System – Section 3, B, v:

Audio and video recordings, and photographs may be used as evidence by mutual consent of the Educator and Evaluator. Documentation of agreement will be in writing using the form as designed by the Evaluation Task Force and renewed each year. Educators may submit any of the above named types of evidence at their own discretion at any time.

Please indicate your agreement to have any of the following methods of evidence collection used by your Evaluators by checking the box and signing your initials near the checkmark. The absence of a checkmark indicates that the Educator is not comfortable with an Evaluator undertaking this type of evidence collection at this time.

Student Work

- Photographs of student work
- Audio recordings of student discussions
- Video recordings of students at work

Educator

- Photographs of Educator teaching and/or room displays
- Audio recordings of Educator instructing and/or leading a discussion
- Video recordings of Educator at work

Signed: _____

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

APPENDIX F

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

Quick Overview 2022-2023

If any of the dates below fall on a weekend, the due date will be the next school day.

Observations - PTS Teachers:

- Two unannounced 10-minute observations minimum
 - by December 23
 - by April 30

Non-PTS Teachers in Years 2 and 3 and PTS teachers teaching under a new license for the first time:

- **Three** unannounced 10-minute observations minimum
 - Between the start of the school year and November 1
 - by March 31
 - by April 30
 - *The third unannounced observation may be waived if educator is rated proficient on Formative assessment*
- **One** announced observation of a full class period or lesson (or its equivalent for non-teaching educators)
- Between November 1 and December 23

Non-PTS Teachers in their First Year of Teaching in Arlington and Teachers on Directed Growth Plans

- **Four** unannounced 10-minute observations minimum
 - Between the start of school and October 1
 - Between November 1 and December 23
 - by March 31
 - by April 30
- **One** announced observation of a full class period or lesson (or its equivalent for non-teaching educators)
 - Between October 1 and November 1 for Non-PTS in their first year
- **Important to note:** “Any observation, series of observations, or collection of evidence resulting in one or more standards judged to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement for the first time must be followed by at least one observation of at least 30 minutes, or a meeting to

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

review additional evidence, within 30 school days.”

Follow-up meetings:

- **Evaluator** will articulate in writing how they will offer times that they are available for follow-up conferences with Educators. This plan will be given to all Educators they will be evaluating at the beginning of the year.
- **Evaluator** provides written initial feedback within five days of observation and before the follow-up meeting
- **Educator** must sign-up for 15-20 minute meeting with Evaluator within five days of observation
- **With mutual agreement, a PTS Teacher on a two-year self-directed growth plan and the evaluator may decide to hold one meeting per year via electronic communication (not e-mail)**
- **Evaluator** must provide final feedback within five days of meeting
- Conference date must be included on Baseline Edge observation form

Evidence - Requirements for teachers on 1 Year Plans (Non-PTS, Directed Growth):

All formative evidence must be submitted by the *educator* by **January 21 - this is at least one piece of evidence for any standard or goal**. You should submit what you want your evaluator to take into consideration when writing the formative evaluation. All final evidence must be submitted by the *educator* by **April 30**.

- The following evidence is required:
 - **One** pieces of evidence supporting professional practice goal
 - **One** pieces of evidence supporting student learning goal
 - **One** pieces of evidence supporting standard I
 - **One** pieces of evidence supporting standard II
 - **One** pieces of evidence support standard III
 - **One** pieces of evidence supporting standard IV

At least one piece of evidence must support indicator IB, IIA, IIIC and IVA – other pieces can support any indicator in the standard.

Evidence may count towards more than one standard, if appropriate.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

Evidence requirements for PTS teachers on 2 Year Self-Directed Growth Plans:

Year 1:

Evidence must be submitted by the *educator* by **April 30**

- **One piece** of evidence supporting professional practice goal
- **One piece** of evidence supporting student learning goal
- **One piece** of evidence supporting standard I
- **One piece** of evidence supporting standard II
- **One piece** of evidence support standard III
- **One piece** of evidence supporting standard IV

Evidence may count towards more than one standard, if appropriate.

Year 2:

All evidence must be submitted by the *educator* by **April 30**

- **One** pieces of evidence supporting professional practice goal
- **One** pieces of evidence supporting student learning goal
- **One** pieces of evidence supporting standard I
- **One** pieces of evidence supporting standard II
- **One** pieces of evidence support standard III
- **One** pieces of evidence supporting standard IV

At least one piece of evidence must support indicator IB, IIA, IIIC and IVA – other pieces can support any indicator in the standard

Evidence may count towards more than one standard, if appropriate.

Evidence from year one can be included towards your total.

Formative/Summative Evaluation:

Non-PTS Teachers/Directed Growth Plans:

- **Evaluator** completes Formative Assessment Report by **February 7**
- **Evaluator and Educator** have Formative meeting by **February 28**
- **Educator** will be given written notice at the meeting following the **March 31** observation if teacher performance indicates POSSIBLE non-renewal. This is not a non-renewal letter, rather it indicates that teacher performance is not where it should be. Similarly, written notice will be given for a teacher on a Directed Growth plan if teacher performance indicates POSSIBLE movement to an Improvement Plan for the following year.

Arlington Effective Educator Development System

- **Evaluator** completes Summative Evaluation Report by at least two days prior to the summative conference
- **Evaluator and Educator** have Summative meeting by **June 1**
- **Educator** must sign final report by **June 15**
- **Educator and Evaluator** must initial digital signature on hard copy
- **Principal** must sign and date evaluation reports before they go in the personnel file
- All signed Evaluation reports will be sent to Kelly Pigott in the Human Resources office for processing

PTS Teachers on 2 Year Self-Directed Growth Plan:

- **Evaluator** completes Evaluation Report by at least two school days prior to the evaluation meeting.
- **Evaluator and Educator** have final meeting...
 - by **June 1** (if educator is moving to Directed Growth Plan)
 - by **June 10** (if educator is staying on Self-Directed Growth Plan)
- **Educator** must sign Evaluation Report within five days of receipt
- Hard copy of all Summative Evaluations will go in the Educator's personnel file
- **Educator and Evaluator** must initial digital signature on hard copy (only if ratings are changing from prior ratings for Formative Evaluation)
- **Principal** must sign and date evaluation reports before they go in the personnel file
- All signed Evaluation reports will be sent to Kelly Pigott in the Human Resources office for processing

NOTE ON LATE HIRES:

The Human Resource office will ensure that educators hired after the new teacher orientation dates understand where to access information on the evaluation system, and what their specific timelines and number of observations will be. The observations will be proportional to the amount of time they will be teaching in the school year. Proposed adjusted timelines and observation schedule will be **in writing** and communicated to the teacher, the Superintendent or his/her designee and the President of the Arlington Education Association.

Note on Teachers on Leave at the End of the Year.

Teachers who are on leave at the end of the school year will have observations in proportion to the amount of time they are teaching in the school year. Teachers will receive a final rating in a summative or formative evaluation.